Arbitration World provides an informative, comparative and balanced overview of the key issues and is an essential resource for parties and lawyers engaged in arbitration, or considering arbitration as an option.

The UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules

Global overview Nigel Rawding & Elizabeth Snodgrass, Freshfields

Bruckhaus Deringer LLP Australian Centre for International

Commercial Arbitration Deborah Tomkinson & Margaux Barhoum.

Australian Centre for International Commercial Arbitration

### China International Economic and Trade Arbitration Commission Yu Jianlong, China International

Economic and Trade Arbitration

The Energy Charter Treaty Timothy G Nelson, David Herlihy & Nicholas Lawn, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom

Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre Chiann Bao, Hong Kong

International Chamber of Commerce Stephen Bond, Nicole Duclos, Miguel López Forastier & Jeremy Wilson, inaton & Burlina I I F

International Centre for Dispute Resolution® Mark Appel, International

**International Centre for Settlement** 

of Investment Disputes Mark W Friedman, Dietmar W Prager & Sophie J

Lamb, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

Kuala Lumpur Regional Centre for

**Arbitration** Datuk Professor Sundra Rajoo, Kuala Lumpur Regional Centre for

The London Court of International

**Arbitration** Phillip Capper, White & Case LLP & Adrian Winstanley, Former LCIA

NAFTA Robert Wisner, McMillan I I P

Arbitration Institute of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce Johan Sidklev

# Singapore International Arbitration

Centre Scheherazade Dubash,

Swiss Rules of International

Arbitration Dr Georg von Segesser, Alexander Jolles & Anya George, Schellenberg Wittmer

Adrian Hughes QC & John Denis-Smith, Thirty Nine Essex Street Chambers Vienna International Arbitral Centre

Manfred Heider & Alice Fremuth-Wolf Vienna International Arhitral Centre

**WIPO Arbitration and Mediation** 

Center Ignacio de Castro & Heike Wollgast, WIPO Arbitration and Mediation

Australia Guy Foster, Andrea Martignoni & James Morrison, Allens Austria Hon-Prof Dr Andreas Reiner &

Prof Dr Christian Aschauer, ARP Belgium Ignace Claeys & Thijs Tanghe,

Canada David R Haigh QC, Louise Novinger Grant, Romeo A Rojas, Paul A Beke, Valérie E Quintal & Joanne Luu, Burnet, Duckworth & Palmer LLP

Cayman Islands Louis Mooney, Mourant

China Peter Murray & John Lin, Hisun &

Colombia Carolina Posada Isaacs, Diego Romero & Laura Vengoechea,

Cyprus Katia Kakoulli & Polyvios Panayides, Chrysses Demetriades & Co

Egypt F John Matouk & Dr Johanne Cox,

England & Wales Gulnaar Zafar, Patrick Heneghan & Bing Yan, Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP

Finland Marko Hentunen, Anders Forss & Jerker Pitkänen, Castrén & Snellman Attornevs Ltd

France Roland Ziadé & Patricia Peterson, Linklaters LLP Germany Rolf Trittmann & Boris Kasolowsky, Freshfields Bruckhaus

Hong Kong Rory McAlpine & Kam Nijar, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom India Pallavi S Shroff, Tejas Karia, Ila Kapoor & Swapnil Gupta, Shardul

Amarchand Manaaldas & Co Ireland Nicola Dunleavy & Gearóid Carey, Matheson

Italy Michelangelo Cicogna, De Berti Jacchia Franchini Forlani

Japan Yoshimi Ohara, Atsushi

Yamashita, Junichi Ikeda & Hironobu Tsukamoto, Nagashima Ohno &

Luxembourg Patrick Santer, Elvinger, Hoss & Prussel

Malaysia Dato' Nitin Nadkarni & Darshendev Singh, Lee Hishammuddin Allen & Gledhill

Malta Antoine G Cremona & Anselmo Mifsud Bonnici, GANADO Advocates

The Netherlands Dirk Knottenbelt, Houthoff Ruruma Pakistan Mujtaba Jamal & Maria

Faroog, MII A I FGAI Peru Roger Rubio, Lima Chamber of

Poland Michał Jochemczak & Tomasz Sychowicz, Dentons

Portugal Manuel P Barrocas, Barrocas

Russia Dmitry Lovyrev & Kirill Udovichenko, Monastyrsky, Zyuba, Stenanov & Partners

Scotland Brandon Malone, Brandon Malone & Company

Singapore Michael Tselentis QC & Michael Lee, 20 Essex St Chambers,

South Africa Nic Roodt, Tania Siciliano. Samantha Reyneke, Mzimasi Mabokwe

& Melinda Kruger, Fasken Martineau South Korea Sungwoo (Sean) Lim, Saemee Kim & Julie Kim, Lee & Ko

Spain Clifford J Hendel & Ángel Sánchez Freire, Araoz & Rueda

Sweden James Hope & Mathilda Persson, Advokatfirman Vinge KB

Switzerland Dr Georg von Segesser, Alexander Jolles & Anya George,

Turkey Murat Karkın, YükselKarkınKüçük

UAE Haider Khan Afridi & Ayla Karmali,

Ukraine Oleg Alyoshin & Yuriy Dobosh, United States David W Rivkin, Mark W

Friedman & Natalie L Reid, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP



**FIFTH EDITION** 

# **ARBITRATION** WORLD

INTERNATIONAL SERIES

General Editors: Karyl Nairn QC & Patrick Heneghan Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP





# ARBITRATION WORLD

# **INTERNATIONAL SERIES**

Karyl Nairn QC & Patrick Heneghan Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP



#### **General Editors**

Karyl Nairn QC & Patrick Heneghan

# **Commissioning Editor**

Emily Kyriacou emily.kyriacou@thomsonreuters.com

#### **Commercial Director**

Katie Burrington katie.burrington@thomsonreuters.com

# **Publishing Editor**

Dawn McGovern dawn.mcgovern@thomsonreuters.com

#### **Editor**

Chris Myers chris@forewords.co.uk

# **Editorial Publishing Co-ordinator**

Nicola Pender nicola.pender@thomsonreuters.com

Published in September 2015 by Thomson Reuters (Professional) UK Limited, trading as Sweet & Maxwell Friars House, 160 Blackfriars Road, London, SE1 8EZ (Registered in England & Wales, Company No 1679046.

Registered Office and address for service:

2nd floor, 1 Mark Square, Leonard Street, London EC2A 4EG)

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN: 9780414039162

Thomson Reuters and the Thomson Reuters logo are trade marks of Thomson Reuters. Sweet & Maxwell and the Sweet & Maxwell logo are trade marks of Thomson Reuters.

Crown copyright material is reproduced with the permission of the Controller of HMSO and the Queen's Printer for Scotland.

While all reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of the publication, the publishers cannot accept responsibility for any errors or omissions.

This publication is protected by international copyright law.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature without prior written permission, except for permitted fair dealing under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, or in accordance with the terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in respect of photocopying and/or reprographic reproduction.

Application for permission for other use of copyright material including permission to reproduce extracts in other published works shall be made to the publishers. Full acknowledgement of author, publisher and source must be given.

© 2015 Thomson Reuters (Professional) UK Limited

# CONTENTS

FOREWORD Karyl Nairn QC & Patrick Heneghan   Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP	vii
GLOSSARY	
GLOBAL OVERVIEW Nigel Rawding & Elizabeth Snodgrass   Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP	1
AUSTRALIAN CENTRE FOR INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION  Deborah Tomkinson & Margaux Barhoum   Australian Centre for International Commercial Arbitration	27
CHINA INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC AND TRADE ARBITRATION COMMISSION  Yu Jianlong   China International Economic and Trade Arbitration Commission	45
<b>THE ENERGY CHARTER TREATY</b> Timothy G Nelson, David Herlihy & Nicholas Lawn   Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	57
HONG KONG INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION CENTRE Chiann Bao   Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre	85
INTERNATIONAL CHAMBER OF COMMERCE Stephen Bond, Nicole Duclos, Miguel López Forastier & Jeremy Wilson   Covington & Burling LLP	
INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION® Mark Appel   International Centre for Dispute Resolution®	121
INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR SETTLEMENT OF INVESTMENT DISPUTES Mark W Friedman, Dietmar W Prager & Sophie J Lamb   Debevoise & Plimpton LLP	
<b>KUALA LUMPUR REGIONAL CENTRE FOR ARBITRATION</b> Datuk Professor Sundra Rajoo   Kuala Lumpur Regional Centre for Arbitration	155
THE LONDON COURT OF INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION Phillip Capper   White & Case LLP Adrian Winstanley   Former LCIA Director General	173
NAFTA Robert Wisner   McMillan LLP	195
ARBITRATION INSTITUTE OF THE STOCKHOLM CHAMBER OF COMMERCE Johan Sidklev   Roschier	.203
SINGAPORE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION CENTRE Scheherazade Dubash   Singapore International Arbitration Centre	219
SWISS RULES OF INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION Dr Georg von Segesser, Alexander Jolles & Anya George   Schellenberg Wittmer	. 235
THE UNCITRAL ARBITRATION RULES Adrian Hughes QC & John Denis-Smith   Thirty Nine Essex Street Chambers	251
VIENNA INTERNATIONAL ARBITRAL CENTRE Manfred Heider & Alice Fremuth-Wolf   Vienna International Arbitral Centre	. 267

# CONTENTS

MUDO ADDITO ATION AND MEDIATION CENTED I	
WIPO ARBITRATION AND MEDIATION CENTER Ignacio de Castro & Heike Wollgast   WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center	289
AUSTRALIA Guy Foster, Andrea Martignoni & James Morrison   Allens	307
AUSTRIA Hon-Prof Dr Andreas Reiner & Prof Dr Christian Aschauer   ARP	329
BELGIUM Ignace Claeys & Thijs Tanghe   Eubelius	349
CANADA David R Haigh QC, Louise Novinger Grant, Romeo A Rojas, Paul A Beke, Valérie E Quintal & Joanne Luu   Burnet, Duckworth & Palmer LLP	367
CAYMAN ISLANDS Louis Mooney   Mourant Ozannes	385
CHINA Peter Murray & John Lin   Hisun & Co, Shanghai	409
COLOMBIA Carolina Posada Isaacs, Diego Romero & Laura Vengoechea   Posse Herrera Ruiz	429
CYPRUS Katia Kakoulli & Polyvios Panayides   Chrysses Demetriades & Co LLC	445
EGYPT F John Matouk & Dr Johanne Cox   Matouk Bassiouny	463
<b>ENGLAND &amp; WALES</b> Gulnaar Zafar, Patrick Heneghan & Bing Yan   Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP	477
FINLAND Marko Hentunen, Anders Forss & Jerker Pitkänen   Castrén & Snellman Attorneys Ltd	497
FRANCE Roland Ziadé & Patricia Peterson   Linklaters LLP	515
GERMANY Rolf Trittmann & Boris Kasolowsky   Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP	533
HONG KONG Rory McAlpine & Kam Nijar   Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom	553
INDIA Pallavi S Shroff, Tejas Karia, Ila Kapoor & Swapnil Gupta   Shardul Amarchand Mangaldas & Co	571
IRELAND Nicola Dunleavy & Gearóid Carey   Matheson	593
ITALY Michelangelo Cicogna   De Berti Jacchia Franchini Forlani	611
JAPAN Yoshimi Ohara, Atsushi Yamashita, Junichi Ikeda & Hironobu Tsukamoto   Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu	631
LUXEMBOURG Patrick Santer   Elvinger, Hoss & Prussen	645
MALAYSIA Dato' Nitin Nadkarni & Darshendev Singh   Lee Hishammuddin Allen & Gledhill	663
MALTA Antoine G Cremona & Anselmo Mifsud Bonnici   GANADO Advocates	685
THE NETHERLANDS Dirk Knottenbelt   Houthoff Buruma	699
PAKISTAN Muitaba lamal & Maria Faroog   M.H. A.I. F.G.A.I	719

# CONTENTS

PERU Roger Rubio   Lima Chamber of Commerce	739
POLAND Michał Jochemczak & Tomasz Sychowicz   Dentons	76
PORTUGAL Manuel P Barrocas   Barrocas Advogados	781
RUSSIA Dmitry Lovyrev & Kirill Udovichenko   Monastyrsky, Zyuba, Stepanov & Partners	801
SCOTLAND Brandon Malone   Brandon Malone & Company	819
<b>SINGAPORE</b> Michael Tselentis QC & Michael Lee   20 Essex St Chambers, London and Singapore	839
SOUTH AFRICA Nic Roodt, Tania Siciliano, Samantha Reyneke, Mzimasi Mabokwe & Melinda Kruger Fasken Martineau	857
SOUTH KOREA Sungwoo (Sean) Lim, Saemee Kim & Julie Kim   Lee & Ko	873
SPAIN Clifford J Hendel & Ángel Sánchez Freire   Araoz & Rueda	889
SWEDEN James Hope & Mathilda Persson   Advokatfirman Vinge KB	91
<b>SWITZERLAND</b> Dr Georg von Segesser, Alexander Jolles & Anya George   Schellenberg Wittmer	93
TURKEY Murat Karkın   YükselKarkınKüçük Attorney Partnership	95
UAE Haider Khan Afridi & Ayla Karmali   Afridi & Angell	977
UKRAINE Oleg Alyoshin & Yuriy Dobosh   Vasil Kisil & Partners	997
UNITED STATES David W Rivkin, Mark W Friedman & Natalie L Reid   Debevoise & Plimpton LLP	1017
CONTACT DETAILS	1037

# FOREWORD

# Karyl Nairn QC & Patrick Heneghan | Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP

We are delighted to have been invited once again by Thomson Reuters to edit this fifth edition of *Arbitration World*, published by its widely recognised legal arm, Sweet & Maxwell (and forming part of their new *International Series*).

Following the success of the previous publication, we are hoping that this revised and extended fifth edition will serve as an invaluable reference guide to the key arbitration jurisdictions, rules and institutions across the globe.

In the three years since the last edition was published, the arbitral landscape has continued to evolve, with important developments in both the law and practice of arbitration. For example, new arbitration centres have opened in New York, Seoul, Moscow and Mumbai; established institutions such as the LCIA, AAA, HKIAC, ICDR, SIAC, VIAC, UNCITRAL and WIPO have published revised arbitration rules; new arbitration legislation has been enacted in Hong Kong, Australia, Belgium and Austria; while other jurisdictions, such as India, have sought through case law to improve their "arbitration-friendly" credentials.

The global status and popularity of arbitration has also grown since the last edition of *Arbitration World*. From 2012 to 2014, ICSID saw the highest annual number of filings in its history, notwithstanding the criticisms in certain quarters about the legitimacy of the existing system of investment treaty arbitration. Arbitration is also extending its global reach – arbitral institutions are reporting that the parties to arbitration are more diversified than ever; 156 state parties have now adopted the New York Convention.

To reflect this trend of expansion, we have continued to broaden the scope of *Arbitration World*. This latest edition has 55 chapters, including 38 jurisdictions and 16 arbitration institutions. We feature 11 new chapters, comprising Belgium, Cayman Islands, Colombia, Egypt, Korea, Malta, Peru, Scotland and the arbitral institutions of CIETAC, SIAC and the SCC.

Arbitration World aims to provide a simple and practical guide to arbitration law and practice for parties and practitioners, enabling its readers to assess the comparative benefits and challenges of arbitrating in various jurisdictions and/or under the auspices of different institutions.

We should like to take this opportunity to express our gratitude to all the authors of *Arbitration World*, old and new. The popularity of this publication is testament to the quality and expertise of the leading law firms, practitioners and institutions who have committed their time to the project.

We should also like to thank Emily Kyriacou and her team at Thomson Reuters, including Katie Burrington, Nicola Pender and Chris Myers, for their superb management and coordination efforts. We also extend our gratitude to Michele O'Sullivan for commissioning the project all those years ago.

Finally, we wish to pay tribute to our hard-working colleagues at Skadden, Gulnaar Zafar, Ben Jacobs, Sabeen Sheikh, Bing Yan, Anna Grunseit, Judy Fu, Nicholas Lawn, Kam Nijar, Laura Feldman, David Edwards, Ekaterina Churanova, Calvin Chan, Ross Rymkiewicz, Catherine Kunz, Melis Acuner, Emma Farrow, Devika Khopkar, Sara

Nadeau-Seguin, Nicholas Adams, Ahmed Abdel-Hakam, Simon Mercouris, Anna Heimbichner, Joseph Landon-Ray, Simon Walsh, Alex van der Zwaan, Tom Southwell, Christopher Lillywhite and Eleanor Hughes, who have assisted with the review and editing of the chapters featured in this latest edition; *Arbitration World* has been a true Skadden team effort and we are most grateful for all the support received.

Patrick Heneghan and Karyl Nairn QC, July 2015

# AUSTRALIAN CENTRE FOR INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION (ACICA)

Deborah Tomkinson & Margaux Barhoum\* | Australian Centre for International Commercial Arbitration

# 1. INSTITUTIONAL HISTORY AND ORGANISATIONAL FRAMEWORK

# 1.1 How is the institution organised and run and what is its history?

The Australian Centre for International Commercial Arbitration (ACICA) is Australia's premier international arbitral institution. Established in 1985 as a not-for-profit company, ACICA's mission is to educate, promote and encourage the use of international commercial arbitration as a means of dispute resolution within Australia and the Asia Pacific region. ACICA has its headquarters at the Australian International Disputes Centre (AIDC) in Sydney, and also has registries in Melbourne and Perth.

ACICA provides a full range of administrative and other services to assist international arbitrations and mediations conducted in Australia and in the region (see www.acica.org.au).

ACICA works closely with AIDC and its case management operation, the Australian Commercial Disputes Centre (ACDC), and together both organisations are able to offer efficiently administered commercial dispute resolution services. While ACICA deals primarily with international arbitration and mediation, ACDC focuses on domestic disputes and assists with managing a range of alternative dispute resolution procedures. AIDC also offers tailor-made rooms for arbitration and mediation hearings.

ACICA is governed by a board that is composed of some of Australia's leading international arbitration practitioners. The day-to-day running of ACICA is managed by the ACICA Secretariat. ACICA maintains panels of international arbitrators and mediators, and lists of experienced arbitration and mediation practitioners.

In 2007 ACICA launched a commission with the objective to support and facilitate the conduct of both international and domestic arbitration and mediation in respect of maritime and transport disputes. The Australian Maritime and Transport Arbitration Commission (AMTAC) works closely with industry to promote an understanding of, and to provide services for, maritime and transport dispute resolution in Australia and the Asia Pacific region.

<sup>\*</sup> The authors wish to acknowledge the work of Michelle Sindler and Lorraine Hui in the preparation of the earlier edition of this chapter.

# ACICA

In recent years, ACICA has played a crucial role in the Australian government's reform of the International Arbitration Act 1974 (Cth) (IAA), which governs the international arbitration regime in Australia. The IAA underwent substantial amendments in 2010 in a move to encourage the use of arbitration. In March 2011, the Australian government appointed ACICA as the sole default appointing authority competent to perform the arbitrator appointment functions under the amended IAA. This landmark action removes the requirement for parties to commence proceedings in one of the state or territory Supreme Courts or in the Federal Court to have an arbitrator appointed under the IAA.

ACICA is a signatory to cooperation agreements with over 50 other arbitration institutions and associations, including the Permanent Court of Arbitration in The Hague. A more substantial cooperative relationship exists between ACICA and those organisations that are members of the Asia Pacific Regional Arbitration Group.

# REGIONAL SCOPE AND STATISTICS

# 2.1 Which regions are covered by the institution?

ACICA's current caseload comprises arbitrations and mediations seated in Australia. ACICA has not kept detailed statistics over the years. However, ACICA's caseload has increased significantly since the inception of AIDC in August 2010. Cases have involved parties from all over Australia and from all regions in the world, including countries such as South Korea, Japan, Fiji, Papua New Guinea, India, Cyprus, New Zealand, Hong Kong, Singapore, the United States, South Africa, France, the United Kingdom, Germany and Switzerland. ACICA's caseload shows an increasing number of foreign parties choosing Australian seats, with 90% of ACICA cases in the last two years involving at least one foreign party and more than a third of cases involving two foreign parties with no other connection to Australia other than it being the choice of seat.

# 3. RULES

3.1 Which arbitration rules are associated with your institution? What are the main areas covered by those rules? Are there any distinguishing features, for example, with respect to expedited formation? Have your rules recently changed or are they about to change? If so, how?

ACICA launched its current set of arbitration rules on 1 August 2011 (ACICA Arbitration Rules). In this chapter, references are to the 2011 version unless otherwise stated.

The 2011 version of the ACICA Arbitration Rules is a significant step forward from the previous 2005 version as it introduces, in Schedule 2, provisions on emergency arbitration. As explored further in Section 8 below, these provisions allow a party to apply to ACICA for emergency interim measures of protection prior to the constitution of the arbitral tribunal. Other distinguishing features of the ACICA Arbitration Rules include: (i) provisions in Article 18 requiring parties and the arbitral tribunal to keep confidential all matters relating to the arbitration (including the existence of the arbitration), the award, the materials created for the purposes of the arbitration and the documents produced by another party to the arbitration (these confidentiality provisions are subject to the usual exceptions); and (ii) a provision stating that the arbitral tribunal shall have regard to (although not be

bound to apply) the International Bar Association Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration (IBA Rules on Evidence).

In 2011, ACICA also revised its Expedited Arbitration Rules (ACICA Expedited Rules). The ACICA Expedited Rules provide a simplified procedure for arbitration, whereby a sole arbitrator appointed by ACICA determines the matter. Ordinarily, no hearing will take place unless the arbitrator determines that exceptional circumstances exist and either the arbitrator or the parties require a hearing to take place. The arbitrator is generally required to deliver a final award within four months of being appointed if there is no counterclaim or set-off, and otherwise within five months.

ACICA also launched new Appointment of Arbitrator Rules (ACICA Appointment Rules) in 2011. The ACICA Appointment Rules apply where ACICA is required to appoint arbitrators pursuant to:

- Any ad hoc agreement.
- Articles 6–8 of the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules.
- Statutory powers granted by the IAA: under section 18 of the IAA, ACICA is an authority that is competent to appoint arbitrators in accordance with Article 11 of the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Arbitration 1985 (with amendments as adopted in 2006) (Model Law), which has the force of law in Australia.

ACICA has also developed specific rules for the resolution of maritime and transport disputes by AMTAC (AMTAC Arbitration Rules). In addition to the AMTAC Arbitration Rules, AMTAC provides a "rocket docket" procedure for expedited, documents-only arbitration to be completed within three months of commencement.

The ACICA Arbitration Rules are currently undergoing review and an Exposure Draft of the proposed ACICA Arbitration Rules 2015 was released at the end of 2014 for public comment. Once this review is complete, a new version of the ACICA Arbitration Rules will be released.

# 4 COMPLEX ARRITRATIONS

4.1 Have your arbitration rules developed specific provisions to address common joinder and consolidation issues that arise in multi-party arbitrations? How do you add an additional party to an ongoing arbitration? How do you pursue claims arising out of multiple contracts in a single arbitration and combine two or more separate but related arbitrations?

The current ACICA Arbitration Rules do not contain specific provisions on joinder and consolidation, however, inclusion of such provisions in the ACICA Arbitration Rules 2015 is under consideration. Currently, parties to multiple contracts may either agree to the appointment of the same arbitral tribunal or apply to ACICA for the appointment of the same arbitral tribunal in both matters and request an order from the arbitral tribunal to consolidate the cases or hear them concurrently.

# COSTS OF THE ARBITRATION

5.1 How do you calculate fees and what are the parties' obligations in this respect? Are arbitrators' fees and the fees of the institution charged on an ad valorem or hourly basis? Do you require a provisional advance or any advance on costs? Is there provision for separate advances on costs?

Any reference to an amount of fees or costs is a reference to the applicable fees or costs as at January 2015 in Australian dollars.

The costs of arbitration under the ACICA Arbitration Rules include:

- (a) The fees of the arbitral tribunal.
- (b) Travel and other reasonable expenses incurred by arbitrators.
- (c) Costs of expert advice and other assistance required by the arbitral tribunal.
- (d) Travel and other reasonable expenses of witnesses to the extent such expenses are approved by the arbitral tribunal.
- (e) Legal and other costs directly incurred by the successful party if such costs were claimed during the arbitral proceedings and only to the extent that the arbitral tribunal determines that the amount of such costs is reasonable.
- (f) ACICA's administration fee.
- (g) Fees for facilities and assistance provided by ACICA.
- (h) ACICA's registration fee, currently A\$2,500 (which is initially payable by the claimant upon submitting a Notice of Arbitration).
- (i) Costs associated with any request for emergency interim measures of protection.

The costs of arbitration are in principle borne by the unsuccessful party, although the arbitral tribunal has discretion to apportion costs differently if it determines that such apportionment is reasonable, taking into account the circumstances of the case (*Article 41.1, ACICA Arbitration Rules*).

The ACICA Arbitration Rules do not provide a scale of costs for the arbitral tribunal's fees. Instead, arbitrators are remunerated at an hourly rate agreed upon by the parties or, failing agreement, determined by ACICA (*Articles 40.1 and 40.2, ACICA Arbitration Rules*). Where ACICA is requested to determine the hourly rate, it must take into account, amongst other things, the nature of the dispute, the amount in dispute, and the standing and experience of the arbitrator (*Article 40.4, ACICA Arbitration Rules*).

ACICA charges an administration fee, the amount of which is dependent upon the amount in dispute. Schedule 1 to the ACICA Arbitration Rules sets out the method for calculating the administration fee. In general, where the amount in dispute exceeds A\$500,000, the administration fee comprises a fixed fee component plus a variable

fee of between 0.02 and 0.5% of the amount in dispute. The maximum administration fee payable is capped at A\$99.000.

For the purpose of determining the amount in dispute, all claims, counterclaims and set-off defences are added together (*Appendix A, Article 2.2, ACICA Arbitration Rules*). If the amount in dispute is not specified in the statement of claim or counterclaim, it is determined by the arbitral tribunal.

Each party is required to deposit an amount as an advance for costs referred to in (a), (b), (c), (f) and (g) above (Article 42.1, ACICA Arbitration Rules). The arbitral tribunal may establish separate deposits where a counterclaim is submitted (Article 42.2, ACICA Arbitration Rules) or may require the parties to make supplementary deposits during the course of the arbitral proceedings (Article 42.3, ACICA Arbitration Rules). After the award has been rendered, any unexpended balance of the deposit is returned to the parties (Article 42.7, ACICA Arbitration Rules). ACICA maintains a trust account into which advances on fees can be paid (Article 42.5, ACICA Arbitration Rules).

The costs of arbitration, apportionment of costs and advance deposit of costs under the ACICA Expedited Rules are similar to those provided for in the ACICA Arbitration Rules. The key difference is that the administrative fee charged by ACICA for expedited proceedings is significantly lower than the administrative fee charged under the ACICA Arbitration Rules, as less administration work is required by ACICA, given the nature of the expedited process.

5.2 If money is held in advance of arbitration costs, is the interest credited to parties or the institution? What procedures are available if a party is unhappy with the proposed or actual costs? What are the consequences of one party refusing to pay any required advance on costs? Are there any provisions dealing with security for costs?

As ACICA may make a charge for its trust account services, the interest on moneys held is kept by ACICA as the charge for use of the trust account.

If one party is uncooperative with regard to the payment of an advance on costs, the other party may pay the full amount of the advance in order to progress the proceedings (and avoid a suspension or termination of the proceedings by the arbitral tribunal under Article 42.6 of the ACICA Arbitration Rules).

If parties are unhappy with the advance sought, ACICA can assess the situation and, if needed, can seek to intervene with the arbitrators in an endeavour to reach a compromise regarding the advance to ensure that the arbitration is not delayed unnecessarily.

Article 28.2(e) of the ACICA Arbitration Rules provides for the possibility of the arbitral tribunal ordering security for legal or other costs of any party as an interim measure of protection.

# 6. AGREEMENTS TO ARBITRATE

# 6.1 Does your institution recommend a standard form arbitration clause? If so, please provide details

ACICA recommends the following standard form arbitration clause for both domestic and international arbitration governed by the ACICA Arbitration Rules:

# ACICA

"Any dispute, controversy or claim arising out of, relating to or in connection with this contract, including any question regarding its existence, validity or termination, shall be resolved by arbitration in accordance with the ACICA Arbitration Rules. The seat of arbitration shall be Sydney, Australia [or choose another city]. The language of the arbitration shall be English [or choose another language]. The number of arbitrators shall be one [or three, or delete this sentence and rely on Article 8 of the ACICA Arbitration Rules]."

Where parties select the ACICA Expedited Rules, ACICA recommends the following standard form arbitration clause:

"Any dispute, controversy or claim arising out of, relating to or in connection with this contract, including any question regarding its existence, validity or termination, shall be resolved by arbitration in accordance with the ACICA Expedited Arbitration Rules. The seat of arbitration shall be Sydney, Australia [or choose another city]. The language of the arbitration shall be English [or choose another language]."

ACICA also acts as an appointing authority under the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules. If the parties choose to select UNCITRAL arbitration, ACICA recommends the following standard form arbitration clause:

"Any dispute, controversy or claim arising out of or relating to this contract, or the breach, termination or invalidity thereof, shall be settled by arbitration in accordance with the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules as at present in force.

The appointing authority shall be the Australian Centre for International Commercial Arbitration. The number of arbitrators shall be [one or three]. The place of arbitration shall be Sydney, Australia [or choose another venue]. The language(s) to be used in the arbitral proceedings shall be ..."

# 7 INITIATING PROCEEDINGS

7.1 What must a party wishing to commence an arbitration submit to the institution (that is, required documents)? What are the contents of such submission? What are the procedural requirements? Who has responsibility for serving the proceedings, the institution or the initiating party?

Under the ACICA Arbitration Rules, a claimant must submit to ACICA a Notice of Arbitration in two copies (or such additional number as ACICA directs), along with payment of ACICA's registration fee of A\$2,500 (*Article 4.1*).

Article 4.3 of the ACICA Arbitration Rules requires that the Notice of Arbitration include all of the following:

- (a) A demand that the dispute be referred to arbitration.
- (b) The names, postal addresses, telephone and facsimile numbers and email addresses (if any) of the parties and their counsel.
- (c) A copy of the arbitration clause or the separate arbitration agreement that is invoked.
- (d) A reference to the contract out of, relating to, or in connection with which the dispute arises.
- (e) The general nature of the claim and an indication of the amount involved, if any.
- (f) The relief or remedy sought.

(g) A proposal as to the number of arbitrators (that is, one or three), if the parties have not previously agreed thereon.

The Notice of Arbitration may also include (Article 4.4, ACICA Arbitration Rules):

- (a) In the case where a sole arbitrator is to be appointed, the claimant's proposal for the appointment of a sole arbitrator.
- (b) In the case where three arbitrators are to be appointed, notification of the claimant's appointment of an arbitrator.
- (c) The statement of claim. A statement of claim is required under Article 21.1 of the ACICA Arbitration Rules and, if not contained in the Notice of Arbitration, must be communicated within a period of time to be determined by the arbitral tribunal. The statement of claim must include a statement of facts supporting the claim, the points at issue and the relief or remedy sought.

Arbitral proceedings are deemed to commence on the date ACICA receives the Notice of Arbitration or the registration fee, whichever occurs later (*Article 4.2, ACICA Arbitration Rules*). ACICA will then communicate the Notice of Arbitration to the other party (or parties) to the dispute (*Article 4.6, ACICA Arbitration Rules*).

Under the ACICA Expedited Rules, a claimant commences arbitration by submitting to ACICA a Notice of Arbitration containing the same details as those required under Article 4.3(a)–(g) of the ACICA Arbitration Rules outlined above. In addition, the Notice of Arbitration must include a statement of claim, which annexes any documents or witness statements that the claimant seeks to rely upon.

# 8. INTERIM RELIFE

# 8.1 Are there any provisions dealing with interim relief prior to the formation of the tribunal? Are there any provisions dealing with the appointment of an "emergency arbitrator"?

In 2011, ACICA introduced new provisions to the ACICA Arbitration Rules to allow parties to apply for emergency interim measures prior to the constitution of the arbitral tribunal.

Schedule 2 of the ACICA Arbitration Rules provides that a party may apply for emergency interim measures of protection by writing to ACICA either at the same time as or following the filing of the Notice of Arbitration. A party applying for an emergency measure must notify all other parties prior to, or at the same time as making the application, and provide a statement to ACICA certifying that all other parties have been notified or an explanation of the steps taken in good faith to notify the other parties of the application (Schedule 2, Article 1.2, ACICA Arbitration Rules).

The application must contain details of:

- (a) The nature of the relief sought.
- (b) The reasons why such relief is required on an emergency basis.

# ACICA

(c) The reasons why the party is entitled to such relief (Schedule 2, Article 1.3, ACICA Arbitration Rules).

The application must also be accompanied by payment of two fees: an application fee of A\$2,500 and the emergency arbitrator fee of A\$10,000 (*Schedule 2, Article 1.4, ACICA Arbitration Rules*).

Upon receiving the application and payment of fees, ACICA will use its best endeavours to appoint an emergency arbitrator within one business day and notify the parties as soon as possible (*Schedule 2, Article 2.1, ACICA Arbitration Rules*).

Once the emergency arbitrator has been appointed, ACICA will refer the application to the emergency arbitrator (*Schedule 2, Article 2.4, ACICA Arbitration Rules*), who must make any decision on the application within five business days (*Schedule 2, Article 3.1, ACICA Arbitration Rules*). The emergency arbitrator has the power to order or award any emergency interim measure of protection that he or she deems necessary and on such as terms he or she deems appropriate (Emergency Interim Measure) (*Schedule 2, Article 3.3, ACICA Arbitration Rules*).

The party applying for emergency relief must satisfy the emergency arbitrator that:

- (a) Irreparable harm is likely to result if the Emergency Interim Measure is not ordered.
- (b) Such harm substantially outweighs the harm that is likely to result to the party affected by the Emergency Interim Measure if the Emergency Interim Measure is granted.
- (c) There is a reasonable possibility that the requesting party will succeed on the merits, provided that any determination on this possibility shall not affect the liberty of decision of the arbitral tribunal in making any subsequent determination (Schedule 2, Article 3.5, ACICA Arbitration Rules).

In this regard, it should be noted that these threshold requirements are the same as those in Article 28.3 of the ACICA Arbitration Rules that apply where a party seeks interim measures of protection from the arbitral tribunal.

The emergency arbitrator may require a party to provide appropriate security as a condition of any Emergency Interim Measure (Schedule 2, Article 3.6, ACICA Arbitration Rules).

Any Emergency Interim Measure is binding on the parties (*Schedule 2, Article 4.1, ACICA Arbitration Rules*). However, it will cease to be binding if:

- (a) The arbitral tribunal makes a final award.
- (b) The claim is withdrawn.
- (c) The emergency arbitrator or the arbitral tribunal (whichever applies) so decides.
- (d) The arbitral tribunal is not appointed within 90 days of the Emergency Interim Measure being made (*Schedule 2, Article 4.3, ACICA Arbitration Rules*).

The emergency arbitrator's jurisdiction and powers cease upon the appointment of the arbitral tribunal (*Schedule 2, Article 5.1, ACICA Arbitration Rules*). It is important to note that an emergency arbitrator cannot act as an arbitrator in the proceedings unless the parties agree otherwise in writing (*Schedule 2, Article 2.3, ACICA Arbitration Rules*).

The ability to obtain emergency interim measures under the ACICA Arbitration Rules does not exclude the availability of court-ordered interim measures. Under the IAA, a party may apply to the court for an interim measure. A court has the same power to issue an interim measure in relation to arbitration proceedings, as it has in relation to proceedings in courts (*Article 17J, Model Law*). This is recognised in Article 7.2 of Schedule 2 of the ACICA Arbitration Rules.

The advantage of utilising the emergency arbitration provisions in the ACICA Arbitration Rules is that the process provided for is short and expedient. The time-frame envisaged by the ACICA Arbitration Rules is such that an Emergency Interim Measure can be obtained as quickly as within six business days. The ACICA Arbitration Rules are silent on whether formal hearings are required in order to obtain an Emergency Interim Measure, whereas an application for a court-ordered interim measure will inevitably involve some kind of hearing.

More importantly, a court exercising its power to order interim measures must do so in accordance with its own procedures, although it must also consider the specific features of international arbitration. Consequently, the elements that an applicant must establish to obtain an Emergency Interim Measure are different from those in court proceedings and, depending on the relief sought, the threshold for obtaining an Emergency Interim Measure may be lower.

In addition, the court's powers to order interim measures are restricted to those powers which it would ordinarily have in relation to judicial proceedings. An emergency arbitrator's powers are not subject to such constraints. Using an emergency arbitrator, parties also have access to experienced arbitration practitioners in a private and neutral forum.

As noted above, an Emergency Interim Measure is binding on the parties. Article 4.2 of Schedule 2 to the ACICA Arbitration Rules provides that "[t]he parties undertake to comply with any Emergency Interim Measure without delay". Consequently, if a party does not comply with the Emergency Interim Measure, the other party may be able to claim that there has been a breach of the express undertaking given. As a practical matter, the arbitral tribunal subsequently appointed is unlikely to look favourably on a party that has failed to comply with a prior order or award by an emergency arbitrator.

# 9. SELECTION/APPOINTMENT/CHALLENGE OF ARBITRATORS

9.1 How are arbitrators appointed? Are there any requirements as to the number of arbitrators? How are their independence and availability ensured? What is the procedure with respect to sole arbitrators, co-arbitrators and the selection of the chairman?

# **Number of arbitrators**

The ACICA Arbitration Rules do not provide a default number of arbitrators. Instead, if the parties cannot agree on the number of arbitrators within 15 days of receipt by the respondent of the Notice of Arbitration, ACICA will determine the number of arbitrators, taking into account all relevant circumstances (*Article 8, ACICA Arbitration Rules*).

ACICA's Expedited Rules provide that there will only be one arbitrator, who is appointed by ACICA (*Articles 8.1 and 8.2, ACICA Expedited Rules*).

# Independence and availability

Under Article 13.1 of the ACICA Arbitration Rules, a prospective arbitrator is required to disclose in writing to those who approach him or her in connection with his or her possible appointment "any circumstances likely to give rise to justifiable doubts as to his or her impartiality or independence". Once appointed, the arbitrator must disclose such circumstances in writing to all parties, as well as to ACICA. It is important to note that Article 13.1 of the ACICA Arbitration Rules picks up the language of Article 12 of the Model Law. Section 18A of the IAA specifies that, for the purposes of Article 12 of the Model Law, there are justifiable doubts as to the impartiality or independence of a person only if there is a "real danger of bias" on the part of that person conducting the arbitration. As a practical matter, the ACICA Secretariat will ask arbitrators for confirmation of their independence and availability to conduct the matter in a timely way.

Similarly, Article 8.3 of the ACICA Expedited Rules imposes an obligation on a prospective arbitrator to disclose circumstances likely to give rise to justifiable doubts as to his or her impartiality and independence. Further, in making the appointment, ACICA must have regard to such considerations as are likely to secure the appointment of an independent and impartial arbitrator and take into account the advisability of appointing an arbitrator of a nationality other than the nationalities of the parties (Article 8.4, ACICA Expedited Rules). ACICA may also have regard to the International Bar Association Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration (Article 8.6, ACICA Expedited Rules).

The ACICA Appointment Rules apply where ACICA is requested to appoint an arbitrator pursuant to statute, the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules or an ad hoc agreement between the parties. Under these rules, the Appointment Committee is required to have regard to the independence and impartiality of potential arbitrators, as well as their availability (*Article 7.1, ACICA Appointment Rules*).

# Appointment of a sole arbitrator

Where a sole arbitrator is to be appointed, the ACICA Arbitration Rules provide that either party may propose to the other the names of one or more persons, one of whom would serve as the sole arbitrator (*Article 9.1, ACICA Arbitration Rules*). If, within 30 days after receipt by a party of a proposal to appoint a particular arbitrator, the parties have not reached agreement and provided written evidence of their agreement to ACICA, the sole arbitrator will be appointed by ACICA (*Article 9.2, ACICA Arbitration Rules*).

As discussed above, the ACICA Expedited Rules provide for the appointment of a sole arbitrator. The sole arbitrator is appointed by ACICA within 14 days from the commencement of arbitration (*Article 8.2, ACICA Expedited Rules*).

# Appointment of three arbitrators

Where three arbitrators are to be appointed under the ACICA Arbitration Rules, each party appoints one arbitrator and the two arbitrators then choose the third arbitrator, who acts as the chairperson of the arbitral tribunal (*Article 10.1, ACICA Arbitration Rules*). If, within 30 days after the receipt of a party's notification of the appointment of an arbitrator, the other party has not notified the first party of the arbitrator it has appointed, the first party may request ACICA to appoint the second arbitrator (*Article 10.2, ACICA Arbitration Rules*). If, within 30 days after the

appointment of the second arbitrator, the two arbitrators have not agreed on the choice of the chairperson, ACICA shall appoint the chairperson (*Article 10.3, ACICA Arbitration Rules*).

# Appointment of arbitrators in multi-party disputes

Under the ACICA Arbitration Rules, where there are multiple parties, any appointments of arbitrators pursuant to Article 9 (which concerns the appointment of a sole arbitrator) and Article 10 (which concerns the appointment of three arbitrators) have no effect unless the multiple claimants or respondents have acted jointly in the appointment of the arbitrator and provide written evidence of their agreement to ACICA (*Article 11.1, ACICA Arbitration Rules*). If the appointments are not made by the claimants or respondents jointly, ACICA will appoint each member of the arbitral tribunal and will designate one of them to act as chairperson unless the parties have agreed otherwise in writing (*Article 11.2, ACICA Arbitration Rules*).

9.2 What are the procedures for mounting challenges, including when and how the parties may submit objections and how arbitrators' appointments can be challenged after the event? How can arbitrators be replaced once removed/unable to continue with the appointment?

# Challenges to arbitrators

Under the ACICA Arbitration Rules, any arbitrator may be challenged if there are circumstances that give rise to justifiable doubts as to the arbitrator's impartiality or independence (*Article 13.2, ACICA Arbitration Rules*). In the case of a party-appointed arbitrator, the party may challenge the arbitrator appointed by it only for reasons it becomes aware of after the appointment has been made (*Article 13.3, ACICA Arbitration Rules*). The procedure for challenging an arbitrator is commenced by a party sending its notice of challenge within 15 days after being notified of the appointment of that arbitrator or within 15 days after becoming aware of circumstances likely to give rise to justifiable doubts as to that arbitrator's impartiality or independence (*Article 14.1, ACICA Arbitration Rules*). The challenge must be made in writing, must include the reasons for the challenge and must be notified to the other party, all the members of the arbitral tribunal (including the arbitrator being challenged) and ACICA.

After a challenge has been made by one party, either the other party can agree to the challenge or the arbitrator being challenged can resign of his or her own accord (*Article 14.3, ACICA Arbitration Rules*), then a substitute arbitrator will be appointed in accordance with the procedure for appointing an arbitrator described above. If the other party does not agree to the challenge or the arbitrator does not resign in response to the challenge, then ACICA will decide on the challenge. If the challenge is sustained by ACICA, a substitute arbitrator will be appointed in accordance with the procedure described above.

Under the ACICA Expedited Rules, an arbitrator may be challenged in similar circumstances (*Article 9, ACICA Expedited Rules*). The procedure for raising a challenge is the same as under the ACICA Arbitration Rules, although the challenge must be made within seven days of notification by ACICA of the appointment of the arbitrator or within seven days of the challenging party becoming aware of circumstances giving rise to justifiable doubts as to that arbitrator's impartiality or independence (*Article 10.1, ACICA Expedited Rules*). The other party can agree to the challenge or the arbitrator being challenged can resign; in either case, a substitute arbitrator will be appointed by ACICA (*Article 10.3, ACICA Expedited Rules*). Otherwise, ACICA will decide on the challenge, and if the challenge is sustained, will appoint a substitute arbitrator (*Article 10.5, ACICA Expedited Rules*).

# Replacement of arbitrators

Under the ACICA Arbitration Rules, in the event of the death or resignation of an arbitrator during the course of the arbitral proceedings, a substitute arbitrator will be appointed in accordance with the procedure described above (*Article 15.1, ACICA Arbitration Rules*). If an arbitrator fails to act or it is impossible de jure or de facto for the arbitrator to perform his or her functions, the procedure in respect of challenging and replacing an arbitrator described above will apply (*Article 15.2, ACICA Arbitration Rules*).

Under the ACICA Expedited Rules, in the event of the death or resignation of an arbitrator during the arbitral proceedings, ACICA will appoint a substitute arbitrator (*Article 11.1, ACICA Expedited Rules*). If an arbitrator fails to act or it is impossible for the arbitrator to perform his or her functions, the procedure for challenging and replacing an arbitrator described above will apply (*Article 11.2, ACICA Expedited Rules*).

# Role of ACICA in monitoring arbitrators

ACICA takes an active role in case management and monitoring the timely conduct of cases and the performance of arbitrators, including dealing with any complaints of delays on the part of arbitrators in conducting proceedings and rendering their awards.

ACICA regularly follows up with parties and arbitrators as to the progress of proceedings in order to ensure that timetables are being followed and that the cases are proceeding as efficiently as possible. If a complaint is made during the course of arbitration proceedings regarding delays by the arbitrators, ACICA will investigate the matter to ascertain the cause of any delay and, if necessary, will do whatever possible to assist with progressing the case.

If a complaint is made after the arbitration proceedings, ACICA will review the case file and, if the complaint is justified, will take that complaint into consideration in future appointments of arbitrators.

# 10. RESOLUTION OF JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES

# 10.1 Does the institution play a role in determining jurisdiction disputes? How does the role of the institution interplay with the role of the tribunal and the national courts in this regard?

Australian arbitration law adopts the approach that an arbitral tribunal may rule on its own jurisdiction, including any objections with respect to the existence or validity of the arbitration agreement (*Article 16(1)*, *Model Law, which is given force of law by section 16, IAA*). This is reflected in Article 24.1 of the ACICA Arbitration Rules, which provides for the power of the arbitral tribunal to rule on objections that it has no jurisdiction. ACICA has no separate role in determining jurisdiction disputes.

If there is no reference to ACICA in the arbitration agreement, then it is not an ACICA case and parties are informed that it can be taken no further. The parties can, of course, subsequently enter into a clear agreement providing for ACICA arbitration. Where there is an ACICA arbitration clause but it potentially has problems, the jurisdictional matter will need to be raised with the arbitral tribunal

If the arbitral tribunal rules as a preliminary question that it has jurisdiction, any party may request the Supreme Court of a state or territory, or the Federal Court to decide the matter, within 30 days after receiving notice of the

arbitral tribunal's ruling (*Article 16(3), Model Law*). The decision of the court cannot be appealed and, while such a request is pending, the arbitral tribunal may continue the arbitral proceedings and make an award.

# 11. TYPICAL AND/OR REQUIRED PROCEDURES

# 11.1 In brief, what are the key documents which must be filed by the parties (for example, request for arbitration, defence, reply) and the timescales for filing them?

Following the initiation of proceedings by Notice of Arbitration (see Section 7 above), Article 5 of the ACICA Arbitration Rules requires that, within 30 days of receiving the Notice of Arbitration from ACICA, the respondent(s) must submit an Answer to Notice of Arbitration (Answer) to ACICA.

#### The Answer must include:

- 1. Contact details of the respondent and its counsel.
- 2. Any plea that an arbitral tribunal constituted under the ACICA Arbitration Rules does not have jurisdiction.
- 3. The respondent's comments on the particulars set forth in the Notice of Arbitration.
- 4. The respondent's answer to the relief or remedy sought in the Notice of Arbitration.
- 5. The respondent's proposal as to the number of arbitrators if the parties have not previously agreed.

#### The Answer may also include:

- 1. In the case where a sole arbitrator is to be appointed, the respondent's proposal for the appointment of a sole arbitrator, or, in the case where three arbitrators are to be appointed, the respondent's notification of the appointment of an arbitrator.
- 2. The statement of defence, which replies to the statement of claim.
- Any counterclaim or claim for the purpose of a set-off arising out of, relating to or in connection with the contract.

ACICA will then provide a copy of the Answer to the claimant. Once the registration fee has been paid and all arbitrators have been confirmed, ACICA will transmit the file to the arbitral tribunal.

Unless the statement of defence was contained in the Answer, the respondent must communicate its statement of defence to the claimant, each of the arbitrators and ACICA within the period of time determined by the arbitral tribunal. Under the ACICA Expedited Rules, the respondent must communicate its statement of defence within 28 days of ACICA providing it with the Notice of Arbitration (*Article 18.1, ACICA Arbitration Rules*). The statement of defence must reply to the statement of facts, points in issue and relief or remedy stated in the statement of claim. The respondent must also annex to the statement of defence any documents or witness statements that it seeks to rely upon.

# 11.2 How is the procedural timetable established? What written submissions/memorials are typically required? What are the general rules with respect to document production and hearings, and the typical length of proceedings?

# Procedural timetable, submissions and hearings

The ACICA Arbitration Rules give broad general powers to the arbitral tribunal to conduct the arbitration in such manner as it considers appropriate, provided that the parties are treated equally and that each party is given a full opportunity to present its case (*Article 17.1, ACICA Arbitration Rules*).

There is no specific rule as to the manner in which a procedural timetable is set. In relation to submissions and hearings, the arbitral tribunal must hold hearings for the presentation of evidence by witnesses (including experts) or for oral argument if either party so requests. If neither party makes that request, the arbitral tribunal must decide whether to hold such hearings or whether the proceedings will be conducted on the basis of documents and other materials (*Article 17.2, ACICA Arbitration Rules*). The ACICA Arbitration Rules also provide that the arbitral tribunal is to have regard to, but is not bound to apply, the IBA Rules on Evidence (*Article 27.2, ACICA Arbitration Rules*).

The ACICA Expedited Rules also allow the arbitrator to conduct the arbitration in such manner as he or she finds appropriate (*Article 13.1, ACICA Expedited Rules*). However, the ACICA Expedited Rules provide that there shall be no hearing unless the arbitrator determines that exceptional circumstances exist and either the arbitrator or the parties require a hearing to take place (*Article 13.2, ACICA Expedited Rules*). Any hearing will be limited to one day unless the arbitrator decides otherwise (*Article 13.3, ACICA Expedited Rules*). The arbitrator is also required to have regard to, but is not bound to apply, the IBA Rules on Evidence (*Article 23.3, ACICA Expedited Rules*).

# **Document production**

As noted above, both the ACICA Arbitration Rules and the Expedited Rules provide arbitrators with broad discretion to conduct the arbitration in any manner they see fit, including making orders for document production.

The ACICA Arbitration Rules do not otherwise specifically address document production. The ACICA Expedited Rules provide that there will be no discovery. The arbitrator may however, order a party to produce particular documents that the arbitrator considers relevant and, if the arbitrator believes that a party has failed to produce any relevant document without good reason, the arbitrator may draw an adverse inference from that party's failure to produce (Articles 23.4 and 23.5, ACICA Expedited Rules).

In addition, section 23 of the IAA provides that a party to arbitral proceedings may apply to a court to issue a subpoena, provided the permission of the arbitral tribunal has been obtained. The subpoena may require a person to attend for examination before the arbitral tribunal and/or produce documents to the arbitral tribunal. The addressee of the subpoena may be a party or non-party, although in the case of a non-party the court will not issue a subpoena unless it is satisfied that it is reasonable in all circumstances to issue it to the person. Section 23 of the IAA applies generally unless the parties have agreed that it will not apply.

# 12. AWARDS

12.1 Are there any time limits for the rendering of awards? What is the scope of awards available (for example, interim, partial, final)? Is there a process for scrutiny of the tribunal's award by the institution and its internal bodies?

Under both the ACICA Arbitration Rules and the ACICA Expedited Rules, the arbitrators may make interim, interlocutory or partial awards. The ACICA Arbitration Rules do not require awards to be rendered within a particular time limit. However, under the ACICA Expedited Rules, the arbitrator is generally required to deliver a final award within four months of being appointed if there is no counterclaim or set-off, and otherwise within five months.

ACICA does not scrutinise awards made by the arbitral tribunal. Once the arbitral tribunal has finalised its award, it is required to communicate copies of the award signed by the arbitrators to the parties and ACICA (Article 33.5, ACICA Arbitration Rules; Article 28.5, ACICA Expedited Rules).

A party may request that the arbitral tribunal give an interpretation of the award (*Article 36, ACICA Arbitration Rules; Article 31, ACICA Expedited Rules*). Such a request must be made within 30 days after receipt of the award under the ACICA Arbitration Rules or within seven days after receipt of the award under the ACICA Expedited Rules. Notice of the request for an interpretation must be given to the other party. The arbitral tribunal must give the interpretation in writing within 45 days after receipt of the request under the ACICA Arbitration Rules or within 28 days after receipt of the request under the ACICA Expedited Rules. The interpretation will then form part of the award.

A party may also request that the arbitral tribunal correct any errors of computation, any clerical or typographical errors, or any errors of a similar nature (*Article 37, ACICA Arbitration Rules; Article 32, ACICA Expedited Rules*). Such a request must be made within 30 days after receipt of the award under the ACICA Arbitration Rules or within seven days after receipt of the award under the ACICA Expedited Rules. Notice of request for correction must be given to the other party. The arbitral tribunal may also make corrections on its own initiative within 30 days after communication of the award under the ACICA Arbitration Rules or within 28 days after communication of the award under the ACICA Expedited Rules.

# 13. CONFIDENTIALITY

13.1 What are the rules as to confidentiality of the work of the institution, the materials generated during the proceedings, the documents and evidence produced and the award rendered by the tribunal? What are the duties of confidentiality of the parties, the institution members and staff and the arbitrators?

Article 18 of the ACICA Arbitration Rules and Article 14 of the ACICA Expedited Rules provide that generally all hearings are to take place in private, and that the parties, the arbitrators and ACICA must treat all matters relating to the arbitration (including the existence of the arbitration), the award, materials created for the purpose of the arbitration, and documents produced by another party in the proceedings and not in the public domain, as confidential and shall not disclose to a third party, without prior written consent from the parties, except:

1. For the purpose of making an application to any competent court;

# ACICA

- 2. For the purpose of making an application to the courts of any state to enforce the award;
- 3. Pursuant to the order of a court of competent jurisdiction;
- 4. If required by the law of any state which is binding on the party making the disclosure; or
- 5. If required to do so by any regulatory body.

A party wishing to make disclosure pursuant to the above exceptions must, within a reasonable time prior to the intended disclosure, notify the arbitrators, ACICA and the other parties (if the disclosure is during the arbitration), or ACICA and the other parties (if the disclosure takes place after the conclusion of the arbitration), and furnish details of the disclosure and an explanation of the reason for it.

Further, to the extent that a witness is given access to evidence or other information obtained in the arbitration, the party calling that witness is responsible for the maintenance by the witness of the same degree of confidentiality as that required of the party.

As noted above, both the ACICA Arbitration Rules and the ACICA Expedited Rules require that the arbitrators are to have regard to, but are not bound to apply, the IBA Rules on Evidence. Article 3 of the IBA Rules on Evidence provides that any document submitted or produced by a party or non-party in the arbitration and not otherwise in the public domain must be kept confidential by the arbitral tribunal and the other parties, and can only be used in connection with the arbitration. This requirement applies except to the extent that disclosure may be required of a party to fulfil a legal duty, protect or pursue a legal right, or enforce or challenge an award in bona fide legal proceedings before a court or other judicial authority. This confidentiality requirement in the IBA Rules on Evidence is without prejudice to other obligations of confidentiality in the arbitration (including, for example, Article 18, ACICA Arbitration Rules or Article 14, ACICA Expedited Rules).

In addition, the IAA contains confidentiality provisions that apply if the parties have agreed that they are to apply (see sections 23C-23G, IAA). These provisions set out the confidentiality obligation, the circumstances in which disclosure of confidential information may be allowed, the circumstances in which a court may make an order prohibiting the disclosure of confidential information and the circumstances in which a court may make an order allowing disclosure of confidential information.

# 14 INSTITUTIONAL ADVANTAGES

# 14.1 What are the main advantages and strengths of the institution? Are there any other unique institutional features which make arbitrating under its auspices more attractive relative to other similar service providers?

The new provisions introduced in 2011 bring the ACICA Arbitration Rules on par with international best practice. The ACICA Arbitration Rules address key problems such as confidentiality of arbitration proceedings and the ability to obtain urgent interim relief prior to the constitution of the arbitral tribunal.

The ACICA Expedited Rules provide a more cost-effective and quick alternative for smaller value or less complex disputes.

ACICA has a reputation for providing parties with transparent and efficient administration of their disputes. ACICA is also able to assist parties and/or counsel with all aspects of arranging hearings and associated services.

The advantages of ACICA arbitration are complemented by an advanced legislative regime supporting international arbitration in Australia. The IAA, as amended in 2010, adopts most of the provisions of the Model Law. Of particular significance to parties to ACICA arbitration is that interim measures granted by the arbitral tribunal (for example, pursuant to Article 28, ACICA Arbitration Rules) can be recognised and enforced in Australian courts with relative ease. In addition, parties may apply to Australian courts to issue subpoenas requiring a person (whether a party or a non-party) to produce documents to the arbitral tribunal or appear for examination before the arbitral tribunal (section 23, IAA). A party also has the right to apply to a court to compel a person to comply with an order of the arbitral tribunal requiring that person to attend before the arbitral tribunal, to produce a document, to appear as a witness or to do any other thing that the arbitral tribunal requires to assist it in the performance of its functions (section 23A, IAA).

# 15. OTHER DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICES

# 15.1 Are there any other mediation, expert determination or alternative dispute resolution services offered by your organisation?

ACICA provides a full range of administrative and other services to assist the conduct of international arbitrations, including assistance in determining the number of arbitrators to be appointed and appointing arbitrators in circumstances where the parties cannot agree on a candidate.

ACICA also provides mediation services under the ACICA Mediation Rules 2007 and maintains a panel of mediators for international disputes as well as for domestic disputes.

ACICA's partner organisation, AIDC, offers a full suite of alternative dispute resolution services through its case administration and training arm, ACDC. ACDC offers recommended rules and guidelines for commercial mediation, workplace mediation, commercial conciliation, expert determination and domestic arbitration (see www. disputescentre.com.au). AIDC and ACDC also offer training in mediation and other alternative dispute resolution mechanisms.

43

# **GENERAL EDITOR**

Karyl Nairn QC

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom

(UK) LLP

40 Bank Street

London E14 5DS

UK

t +44 20 7519 7000

**f** +44 20 7519 7070

e karyl.nairn@skadden.com

w www.skadden.com

#### **GLOBAL OVERVIEW**

Nigel Rawding & Liz Snodgrass Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP 65 Fleet Street

London EC4Y 1HT

UK

t +44 20 7936 4000

f +44 20 7832 7001

e nigel.rawding@freshfields.com

e elizabeth.snodgrass@freshfields.com

**w** www.freshfields.com

# AUSTRALIAN CENTRE FOR INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION

Deborah Tomkinson

Secretary-General

Australian Centre for International

Commercial Arbitration

Level 16

1 Castlereagh St

Sydney, NSW

Australia 2000

t+61 2 9223 1099

f +61 2 9223 7053

e dtomkinson@acica.org.au

w www.acica.org.au

# CHINA INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC AND TRADE ARBITRATION COMMISSION

Yu Jianlong

CIETAC

6/F, CCOIC Bld. No.2 Hua Pichang

Hutong

Xi Cheng District

Beijing 100035

China

t +86 10 82217788

f +86 10 82217766

e yujianlong@cietac.org

w www.cietac.org

# **ENERGY CHARTER TREATY**

Timothy G Nelson

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom

IID

Four Times Square

New York, NY 10036

USA

t +1 212 735 3000

f +1 212 735 2000

e timothy.g.nelson@skadden.com

David Herlihy & Nicholas Lawn

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom

(UK) LLP

40 Bank Street

London E14 5DS

UK

t +44 20 7519 7000

**f** +44 20 7519 7070

e david.herlihy@skadden.com

e nicholas.lawn@skadden.com

w www.skadden.com

# HONG KONG INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION CENTRE

Chiann Bao & Joe Liu

Hong Kong International Arbitration

Centre

38th Floor Two Exchange Square

8 Connaught Place

Hong Kong SAR

t +852 2912 2218

**m** +852 6463 7899

f +852 2524 2171

e chiann@hkiac.org

e joe@hkiac.org

w www.hkiac.org

# INTERNATIONAL CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

Stephen Bond & Jeremy Wilson

Covington & Burling LLP

265 Strand

London WC2R 1BH

UK

t +44 20 7067 2000

f +44 20 7067 2222

e sbond@cov.com

e jwilson@cov.com

**w** www.cov.com

Nicole Duclos

Covington & Burling LLP

The New York Times Building

620 Eighth Avenue

New York, NY 10018-1405

US

t +1 212 841 1000

**f** +1 212 841 1010

e nduclos@cov.com

**w** www.cov.com

Miguel López Forastier Covington & Burling LLP

One CityCenter

850 Tenth Street, NW

Washington, DC 20001-4956

US

**t** +1 202 662 6000

f +1 202 662 6291

e mlopezforastier@cov.com

w www.cov.com

# INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION®

International Centre for Dispute Resolution (ICDR) 120 Broadway, 21st Floor

New York, NY 10271

US

t +1 212 484 4181

w www.icdr.org

Mark E Appel Senior VP - EMEA

**t** +356 99 54 77 99

e AppelM@adr.org

# INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR SETTLEMENT OF INVESTMENT DISPUTES

Mark W Friedman, Dietmar W Prager &

Sophie J Lamb

Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

919 Third Avenue

New York, NY 10022

US

t +1 212 909 6000

f +1 212 909 6836

65 Gresham Street

London

UK

t +44 20 7786 9000

f +44 20 7588 4180

e mwfriedman@debevoise.com

e dwprager@debevoise.com

e silamb@debevoise.com

w www.debevoise.com

# KUALA LUMPUR REGIONAL CENTRE FOR ARBITRATION

Datuk Professor Sundra Rajoo Kuala Lumpur Regional Centre for

Arbitration

Bangunan Sulaiman, Jalan Sultan

Hishamuddin

50000 Kuala Lumpur WP

Malaysia

t +60 3 2271 1000

**f** +60 3 2271 1010

e sundra@klrca.org

**w** www.klrca.org

#### LCIA

Phillip Capper

White & Case LLP

5 Old Broad Street

London EC2N 1DW

ПK

t +44 20 7532 1801

**f** +44 20 7532 1001

e pcapper@whitecase.com

w www.whitecase.com

Adrian Winstanley

International Dispute Resolution Centre

70 Fleet Street

London EC4Y 1EU

HK

t +44 7766 953 431

e aw@awadr.com

# NAFTA

Robert Wisner

McMillan LLP

Brookfield Place

181 Bay Street, Suite 4400

Toronto, Ontario M5J 2T3

Canada

t +1 416 865 7127

f +1 416 865 7048

e robert.wisner@mcmillan.ca

w mcmillan.ca

# SINGAPORE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION CENTRE

Singapore International Arbitration

Centre

32 Maxwell Road #02-01

Singapore 069115

t +65 6221 8833

f +65 6224 1882

and

1008, The Hub

One Indiabulls Centre, Tower 2B

Senapati Bapat Marg

Mumbai 400013

India

m +91 9920381107

t +91 22 6189 9841

e corpcomms@siac.org.sg

w www.siac.org.sg

# ARBITRATION INSTITUTE OF THE STOCKHOLM CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

Johan Sidklev Roschier

Box 7358

Blasieholmsgatan 4 A SE-103 90 Stockholm

Sweden

t +46 8 553 190 70

f +46 8 553 190 01

e johan.sidklev@roschier.com

w www.roschier.com

# SWISS RULES OF INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION

Dr Georg von Segesser, Alexander Jolles

& Anya George

Schellenberg Wittmer Ltd

Löwenstrasse 19

PO Box 1876

8021 Zurich

Switzerland

t +41 44 215 52 52

**f** +41 44 215 52 00

**e** georg.vonsegesser@swlegal.ch

e alexander.jolles@swlegal.ch

e anya.george@swlegal.ch

w www.swlegal.ch

## UNCITRAL

Adrian Hughes QC & John Denis-Smith

39 Essex Chambers

39 Essex Street

London WC2R 3AT

UK

t +44 20 7832 1111

f +44 20 7353 3978

e adrian.hughes@39essex.com

e john.denis-smith@39essex.com

www.39essex.com

# VIENNA INTERNATIONAL ARBITRAL CENTRE

Manfred Heider & Alice Fremuth-Wolf

VIAC

Wiedner Hauptstrasse 63

A-1045 Vienna

Austria

t +43 5 90 900 4398

f +43 5 90 900 216

e office@viac.eu

w http://viac.eu

# WIPO ARBITRATION AND MEDIATION CENTER

Ignacio de Castro and Heike Wollgast WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center

34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20

Switzerland

t +41 22 338 8247

e arbiter.mail@wipo.int

w www.wipo.int/amc

# AUSTRALIA

Guy Foster, Andrea Martignoni & James

Morrison

Allens

Deutsche Bank Place

126 Phillip Street

Svdnev

Australia 2000

t+61 2 9230 0000

f+61292305333

e guy.foster@allens.com.au

e andrea.martgnoni@allens.com.au

e james.morrison@allens.com.au

w www.allens.com.au

# **AUSTRIA**

Hon-Prof Dr Andreas Reiner &

Prof Dr Christian Aschauer

ARP Andreas Reiner & Partners

Helferstorferstrasse 4

A-1010 Vienna

t +43 1 532 23 32 0

f +43 1 532 23 32 10

e andreas.reiner@arb-arp.at

e christian.aschauer@arb-arp.at

w www.arb-arp.at

# **BELGIUM**

Ignace Claeys and Thijs Tanghe

Eubelius

Louizalaan 99

B-1050 Brussels

Belgium

**t** +32 2 543 31 00

f +32 2 543 31 01

e ignace.claeys@eubelius.com

e thijs.tanghe@eubelius.com

w www.eubelius.com

#### CANADA

David R Haigh QC, Louise Novinger Grant, Romeo A Rojas, Paul A Beke,

Valérie E Quintal & Joanne Luu

Burnet, Duckworth & Palmer LLP

2400, 525-8 Avenue SW

Calgary, Alberta T2P 1G1

Canada

**t** +1 403 260 0100

**f** +1 403 260 0332

e drh@bdplaw.com

- e lng@bdplaw.com
- e rrojas@bdplaw.com
- e pbeke@bdplaw.com
- e vquintal@bdplaw.com
- e jluu@bdplaw.com
- w www.bdplaw.com

#### **CAYMAN ISLANDS**

Louis Mooney, M.C.I.Arb

Mourant Ozannes

94 Solaris Avenue

PO Box 1348

Camana Bay

Grand Cayman KY1-1108

Cayman Islands

- t +1 345 949 4123
- f+13459494647
- e louis.mooney@mourantozannes.com
- w www.mourantozannes.com

# **CHINA**

Peter Murray & John Lin

Hisun & Co, Shanghai

Rm.1102, East Tower,

Sinopec Building

1525-1539 Pudong Avenue

Shanghai, 200135

China

- t +86 21 5885 2177
- t +86 21 6853 6685
- t +86 21 6859 2933
- e peter.murray@hisunlaw.com
- e john.lin@hisunlaw.com

# COLOMBIA

Carolina Posada Isaacs, Diego Romero & Laura Vengoechea Posse Herrera Ruiz

Carrera 7 No 71 - 52, Torre A, Piso 5

Bogotá

Colombia

- t +571 325 7300
- f +571 325 7313
- e carolina.posada@phrlegal.com
- e diego.romero@phrlegal.com
- e laura.vengoechea@phrlegal.com
- w www.phrlegal.com

#### **CYPRUS**

Katia Kakoulli & Polyvios Panayides

Chrysses Demetriades & Co LLC

Karaiskakis 13

CY-3032 Limassol

Cyprus

- t +357 25 800 000
- f +357 25 342 887
- e katia.kakoulli@demetriades.com
- e polyvios.panavides@demetriades.com
- w www.demetriades.com

# **EGYPT**

John F Matouk & Dr Johanne Cox

Matouk Bassiouny

12 Mohamed Ali Genah

Garden City

Cairo

Egypt

- t +202 2795 4228
- f +202 2795 4221
- e john.matouk@matoukbassiouny.com
- e johanne.cox@matoukbassiouny.com
- **w** www.matoukbassiouny.com

## **ENGLAND & WALES**

Gulnaar Zafar

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher &

Flom (UK) LLP

40 Bank Street

London E14 5DS

UK

- t +44 20 7519 7000
- **f** +44 20 7519 7070
- e karyl.nairn@skadden.com
- e gulnaar.zafar@skadden.com
- w www.skadden.com

### **FINLAND**

Marko Hentunen, Anders Forss &

Jerker Pitkänen

Castrén & Snellman Attorneys Ltd

PO Box 233 (Eteläesplanadi 14)

Helsinki 00131

Finland

- t +358 20 7765 765
- **f** +358 20 7765 001
- e marko.hentunen@castren.fi
- **e** anders.forss@castren.fi
- **e** jerker.pitkanen@castren.fi
- w http://www.castren.fi/

# **FRANCE**

Roland Ziadé & Patricia Peterson

Linklaters LLP

25 rue de Marignan

Paris 75008

France

t+33156435643

- **f** +33 1 43 59 41 96
- e roland.ziade@linklaters.com
- e patricia.peterson@linklaters.com
- w www.linklaters.com

# **GERMANY**

Prof Dr Rolf Trittmann & Dr Boris Kasolowsky

Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP Bockenheimer Anlage 44 Frankfurt am Main 60322

Germany

t +49 69 27 30 80

f +49 69 23 26 64

e rolf.trittmann@freshfields.com

e boris.kasolowskv@freshfields.com

w www freshfields com

# HONG KONG

Rory McAlpine & Kam Nijar Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom 42/F Edinburgh Tower, The Landmark 15 Queen's Road Central Hong Kong S.A.R.

t +852 3740 4700

f +852 3740 4727

e rory.mcalpine@skadden.com

e kam.nijar@skadden.com

w www.skadden.com

# INDIA

Pallavi S Shroff, Tejas Karia, Ila Kapoor & Swapnil Gupta Shardul Amarchand Mangaldas & Co 216, Amarchand Towers Okhla Industrial Estate, Phase III New Delhi 110 020

India

t +91 11 41590700

f +91 11 26924900

e pallavi.shroff@AMSShardul.com

e tejas.karia@AMSShardul.com

## **IRELAND**

Nicola Dunleavy & Gearóid Carey Matheson 70 Sir John Rogerson's Quay Dublin 2 Ireland † +35312322000

f . 252 1 222 2222

f +353 1 232 3333

e Nicola.dunleavy@matheson.com

e Gearoid.carey@matheson.com

w www.matheson.com

# ITALY

Michelangelo Cicogna De Berti Jacchia Franchini Forlani Via San Paolo, 7 20121 Milano Italy

t +39 02725541

**f** +39 0272554400

e m.cicogna@dejalex.com

w www.dejalex.com

#### JAPAN

Yoshimi Ohara Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu JP Tower 2-7-2 Marunouchi Chiyoda-ku

Tokyo

Japan 100-7036

t +81 3 6889 7000

f+81368898000

e yoshimi\_ohara@noandt.com

w www.noandt.com

## LUXEMBOURG

Patrick Santer
Elvinger, Hoss & Prussen
2 Place Winston Churchill
Luxembourg L-1340
t +352 44 66 44 0
f +352 44 22 55

**e** patricksanter@ehp.lu

w www.ehp.lu

#### MALAYSIA

Dato' Nitin Nadkarni &
Darshendev Singh
Lee Hishammuddin Allen & Gledhill
Level 16, Menara Tokio Marine Life
189 Jalan Tun Razak
50400 Kuala Lumpur
Malaysia

t +603 2170 5866/5845

.

**f** +603 2161 3933/1661

e nn@lh-ag.com

e ds@lh-ag.com

w www.lh-ag.com

# **MALTA**

Antoine G Cremona & Anselmo Mifsud Bonnici GANADO Advocates 171, Old Bakery Street Valletta VLT 1455 Malta

t +356 21235406

**f** +356 21232372

e agcremona@ganadoadvocates.com

w www.ganadoadvocates.com

#### THE NETHERLANDS

Dirk Knottenbelt

Houthoff Buruma

Weena 355

3013 AL Rotterdam

The Netherlands

t +31 10 2172000

f +31 10 2172706

e d.knottenbelt@houthoff.com

w www.houthoff.com

# **PAKISTAN**

Mujtaba Jamal & Maria Faroog

MJLA LEGAL

57-P, Gulberg II

Lahore 54000

Pakistan

t +92 42 35778700-02

f +92 42 35778703

e m.jamal@mjlalegal.com

w www.mjlalegal.com

# **PERU**

Roger Rubio

Secretario Generale

Centro de Arbitraje

Cámara de Comercio de Lima

Lima

Peru

**t** +511 219 1550

t +511 219 1551 (direct)

e rrubio@camaralima.org.pe

# **POLAND**

Michał Jochemczak &

Tomasz Sychowicz

Dentons

Rondo ONZ 1

00-124 Warsaw

Poland

t +48 22 242 52 52

f +48 22 242 52 42

e michal.jochemczak@dentons.com

e tomasz.sychowicz@dentons.com

w www.dentons.com

# **PORTUGAL**

Manuel P Barrocas

Barrocas Advogados

Amoreiras, Torre 2, 15th floor

Lisbon 1070-102

Portugal

t +351 213 843 300

f +351 213 870 265

e mpb@barrocas.pt

w www.barrocas.pt

# RUSSIA

Dmitry Lovyrev & Kirill Udovichenko Monastyrsky, Zyuba, Stepanov &

Partners

3/1, Novinsky boulevard

Moscow 121099

Russia

**t** +7 495 231 42 22

**f** +7 495 231 42 23

**e** Moscow@mzs.ru

**w** www.mzs.ru

# **SCOTLAND**

Brandon Malone

Brandon Malone & Company

Kirkhill House

Kirkhill Road

Penicuik EH26 8HZ

Scotland

t +44 131 618 8868

f +44 131 777 2609

e info@brandonmalone.com

w www.brandonmalone.com

# **SINGAPORE**

Michael Tselentis QC & Michael Lee

20 Essex Street Chambers

20 Essex Street

London WC2R 3AL

UK

t +44 207 8421200

f +44 207 8421270

e mtselentis@20essexst.com

e mlee@20essexst.com

www.20essexst.com

# SOUTH AFRICA

Nic Roodt, Tania Siciliano,

Samantha Niemann, Mzimasi Mabokwe

& Melinda Kruger

Fasken Martineau

Inanda Greens, Building 2

54 Wierda Road West

Sandton

Johannesburg 2196

South Africa

**t** +27 11 586 6000

**f** +27 11 586 6104

**e** nroodt@fasken.com

w www.fasken.com/johannesburg/

# **SOUTH KOREA**

Sean Sungwoo Lim

Lee & Ko

Hanjin Building, 63 Namdaemun-ro,

Jung-gu,

Seoul 100-770

South Korea

t +82 2 772 4000

f +82 2 772 4001

e sean.lim@leeko.com

w www.leeko.com

# **SPAIN**

Clifford J Hendel & Ángel Sánchez Freire Araoz & Rueda Abogados S.L.P. Paseo de la Castellana, 164

28046 Madrid

Spain

**t** +34 91 319 0233

f +34 91 319 1350

e hendel@araozyrueda.com

e asfreire@araozyrueda.com

w www.araozyrueda.com

# **SWEDEN**

James Hope & Mathilda Persson Advokatfirman Vinge KB

Smålandsgatan 20 Box 1703

SE-111 87 Stockholm

Sweden

t +46 10 614 3000

e james.hope@vinge.se

e mathilda.persson@vinge.se

w www.vinge.se

## **SWITZERLAND**

Dr Georg von Segesser, Alexander Jolles

& Anya George

Schellenberg Wittmer Ltd

Löwenstrasse 19
PO Box 1876
8021 Zurich
Switzerland
t +41 44 215 52 52

f +41 44 215 52 00

e georg.vonsegesser@swlegal.ch

e alexander.jolles@swlegal.ch

**e** anya.george@swlegal.ch

w www.swlegal.ch

### TURKEY

Murat Karkın

YükselKarkınKüçük Attorney Partnership Buyukdere Caddesi No: 127 Astoria A

Kule

K: 6-24-25-26-27 Esentepe Sisli

Istanbul 34394

Turkey

t +90 212 318 0505

f +90 212 318 0506

e mkarkin@yukselkarkinkucuk.av.tr

w www.yukselkarkinkucuk.av.tr

#### UAE

Haider Khan Afridi & Ayla Karmali

Afridi & Angell

Jumeirah Emirates Towers

Office Tower, Level 35

PO Box 9371

Dubai

United Arab Emirates

t +971 4 330 3900

**f** +971 4 330 3800

 $\textbf{e} \; \text{hafridi@afridi-angell.com}$ 

 $\boldsymbol{w} \text{ www.afridi-angell.com}$ 

#### UKRAINE

Oleg Alyoshin & Yuriy Dobosh

Vasil Kisil & Partners

17/52A Bogdana Khmelnitskogo St

Kyiv 01030

Ukraine

t +38 044 581 77 77

**f** +38 044 581 77 70

e vkp@vkp.kiev.ua

w www.vkp.kiev.ua

# **UNITED STATES**

David W Rivkin, Mark W Friedman &

Natalie L Reid

Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

919 Third Avenue

New York, NY 10022

**United States** 

t +1 212 909 6000

**f** +1 212 909 6836

e dwrivkin@debevoise.com

e mwfriedman@debevoise.com

e nlreid@debevoise.com

w www.debevoise.com